

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/763,092	02/16/2001	Iwao Miyajima	AKI-C052	2721
30132 75	590 12/03/2002			
GEORGE A.			EXAM	INER
3137 MOUNT ALEXANDRIA	VERNON AVENUE A, VA 22305		DEPUMPO,	DANIEL G
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3611	
			DATE MAILED: 12/03/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/763,092

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Daniel G. DePumpo

Miyajima Art Unit

3611



Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may'be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.138 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the paried for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will apply and will sopies SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the paried for reply is pecified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will sopies SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONEO (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three menths after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on Aug 13, 2002 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-17 and 23-27
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTNE from the mailing date of this communication Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutus, cause the application to become ABANDONEO [35 U.S.C. § 173] Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on Aug 13, 2002 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is non-final. 3] □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 1-17 and 23-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above, claim(s) 1-12 is/are withdrawn from consideratio 5) □ Claim(s) 13-17 and 23-27 is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 13-17 and 23-27 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected to. 8) □ Claims are subject to restriction and/or election requirement Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are all accepted or bill objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Aug 13, 2002 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-17 and 23-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above, claim(s) 1-12 is/are withdrawn from consideratio 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 13-17 and 23-27 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claims are subject to restriction and/or election requirement Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are all accepted or bill objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-17 and 23-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above, claim(s) 1-12 is/are withdrawn from consideratio 5) ☐ Claim(s)
3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-17 and 23-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above, claim(s) 1-12 is/are withdrawn from consideratio 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 13-17 and 23-27 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claims are subject to restriction and/or election requirement Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are a accepted or b objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-17 and 23-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above, claim(s) 1-12 is/are withdrawn from consideratio 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 13-17 and 23-27 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claims are subject to restriction and/or election requirement Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are allowed. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
4) Claim(s) 1-17 and 23-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above, claim(s) 1-12 is/are withdrawn from consideratio 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) 文 Claim(s) 13-17 and 23-27 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claims are subject to restriction and/or election requirement Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are all accepted or bill objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
4a) Of the above, claim(s) 1-12 is/are withdrawn from consideratio 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 13-17 and 23-27 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claims are subject to restriction and/or election requirement Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are all accepted or blue objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
5) ☐ Claim(s)
6) Claim(s) 13-17 and 23-27 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s)
6) Claim(s) 13-17 and 23-27 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s)
7) Claim(s)
are subject to restriction and/or election requirement Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are all accepted or bill objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are a accepted or b objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are a accepted or b objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☑ All b) □ Some* c) □ None of:
1. X Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.
Attachment(s)
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)

Application/Control Number: 09/763,092

Art Unit: 3611

- 1. Claims 1-12 remain withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper No. 6.
- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 13-17 and 23-25 are finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The originally filed specification does not support the new recitations that the urethane foam has a density in various ranges from 0.010g/cm³ to 0.500g/cm³. The original specification does not provide any units for the density ranges as disclosed at pages 4 and 5. Consequently applicant may not now urge that these density ranges are the patentable feature of the invention (as argued in the amendment filed August 13, 2002).
- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

11/25/02

Application/Control Number: 09/763,092 Page 3

Art Unit: 3611

In claim 24, it is unclear whether the urethane foam has the claimed density range, or the raw material (which is apparently a mixture of urethane foam and gum-based particles) has the claimed density range.

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 13-15, 23-27 are finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamagiwa et al. 677 in view of the Japan 106,390 reference (JP '390) and further in view of Lindewall.

See paragraph 9 of the rejection of Paper No. 7 (mailed 5/10/02).

Regarding the claimed density ranges, these broad ranges appear to be inherent in an expanded foam of the type disclosed by Yamagiwa. In the supplemental response filed August 13, 2002, applicant provides abstracts of numerous Japanese Kokai Publications and states that they are "representative of countless technical publications". These publications seem to establish that urethane foams generally have a density within the broad claimed ranges.

Nevertheless, neither Yamagiwa nor JP '390 specifically discloses the claimed density.

Lindewall however discloses a structural urethane foam having a density that falls within the claimed ranges. It would have been obvious to modify the combination by using a foam

Art Unit: 3611

having the claimed density, as taught by Lindewall since commercially available structural foams commonly have such a density.

8. Claims 16 and 17 are finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Yamagiwa, JP '390 and Lindewall as applied to claims above, and further in view of the Japan 205119 reference (JP '119).

See paragraph 10 of the rejection of Paper No. 7 (mailed 5/10/02).

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Yazaki and Hanakawa disclose structural foams having the claimed density.
- 10. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however,

Art Unit: 3611

will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel G. DePumpo whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

DANIEL G. DePUMPO PRIMARY EXAMINER

dgd

November 25, 2002